

ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale for Haematological Malignancies

EVALUATION FORM 2C

For therapies that are not likely to be curative with primary endpoint other than OS or PFS or non-inferiority studies

Name of stu	ıdy:							
Study medic	cine:		Indication:					
First author	:		Year:		Journal:			
Name of eva	aluator:							
or quality o	f life an	molecular response rat d non-inferiority studies						
GRADE 4	Reduced toxicity* or improved QoL (using validated scale) with evidence for statistical non-inferiority or superiority in PFS/OS/CRR/MMR							
	Major molecular response rate (MR 4+) increased ≥20%							
GRADE 3	Improvement in some symptoms (using a validated scale) BUT without evidence of improved overall QoL							
	Major molecular response rate (MR 4+) increased 10 - <20%							
GRADE 2	RR is	s increased ≥20%						
	Majo							
GRADE 1	RR is increased <20%							
	Majo	r molecular response rate (MF	R 4+) increased <	<5%				
*This does not incl	ude alopecia,	myelosuppression, but rather chronic na	usea, diarrhoea, fatigu	e, etc.	1	Mark with √ if relevant		
Preliminar	y magnit	ude of clinical benefit scor	e	4	3 2	1		



ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale for Haematological Malignancies

Incremental toxicity

Is the new treatment associated with an incremental rate of:

Fatal adverse events in ≥2% of patients	
Premature discontinuation of therapy in ≥10% of patients	
Hospitalisation for adverse events in ≥10% of patients	
Grade ≥3 mucositis in ≥10% of patients	
Grade ≥3 diarrhoea in ≥10% of patients	
Grade ≥3 fatigue in ≥10% of patients	
Grade ≥3 neurotoxicity in ≥10% of patients	
Other distressing toxicity grade ≥3 in ≥10% of patients	
Overall grade 3-4 toxicity impacting on daily well-being* or serious adverse events in ≥20% of patients	

Note: Incremental rate refers to the comparison versus standard therapy in the control arm

*This does not include alopecia, myelosuppression, but rather chronic nausea, diarrhoea, fatigue, etc.

 $\text{Mark with } \sqrt{\text{ if relevant}}$



ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale for Haematological Malignancies

Quality of Life/Grade 3-4 toxicities assessment

Was (QoL evaluated as secondary outcome?							
Does	secondary endpoint QoL show improvement?							
Are th	nere fewer grade 3-4 toxicities impacting on daily well-being?*							
*This do	pes not include alopecia, myelosuppression, but rather chronic nausea, diarrhoea, fatigue, etc.	Mark with √ if relevant						
Adjus	stments							
01.	When OS as secondary endpoint shows improvement, it will prevail and the scoring shoul according to form 2a	d be done						
02.	Upgrade 1 level if study with primary outcome of MR or RR demonstrates a. Improved QoL OR b. Fewer grade 3-4 toxicities that affect well-being of patients are demonstrated							
03.	Downgrade 1 level if the treatment has incremental toxicity							
Fin	al magnitude of clinical benefit score 5 4 3 2	1						

Non-curative setting grading 5 and 4 indicate a substantial magnitude of clinical benefit