

## ESMO-MAGNITUDE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT SCALE V1.1 EVALUATION FORM 2A

For therapies that are not likely to be curative with primary endpoint of OS

Name of study:		
Study medicine:	Indication:	
First author:	Year:	Journal:
Name of evaluator:		

## If median OS with the standard treatment >24 months

GRADE 4	HR ≤0.70 <u>AND</u> gain ≥9 months	
	Increase in 5 year survival alone ≥10%	$\bigcirc$
GRADE 3	HR ≤0.70 <u>AND</u> gain ≥6-<9 months	$\bigcirc$
GRADE 2	HR ≤0.70 <u>AND</u> gain ≥4-<6 months	
	HR >0.70-0.75 <u>AND</u> gain ≥4 months	$\bigcirc$
GRADE 1	HR >0.75 <u>OR</u> gain <4 months	

Mark with  $\sqrt{}$  if relevant

Preliminary magnitude of clinical benefit grade (highest grade scored)	4	3	2	1

Non-curative setting grading - 5 and 4 indicates a substantial magnitude of clinical benefit



## Quality of life/Grade 3-4 toxicities\* assessment

Does secondary endpoint QoL show improvement?			
Are there statistically significantly less grade 3-4 toxicities impacting on daily well-being?*			
*This does not include alopecia, myelosuppression, but rather chronic nausea,diarrhoea, fatigue, etc.	Mark with $$ if relevant		

## **Adjustments**

- 01. Upgrade 1 level if improved QoL and/or less grade 3-4 toxicities impacting daily well-being are shown
- **02.** If there is a long term plateau in the survival curve, and OS advantage continues to be observed at 7 years, <u>also score</u> according to form 1 (treatments with curative potential) and present both scores i.e. A/4.



Non-curative setting grading - 5 and 4 indicates a substantial magnitude of clinical benefit

OS, overall survival; QoL, quality of Life