

## ESMO-MAGNITUDE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT SCALE V1.1 EVALUATION FORM 1

For new approaches to adjuvant therapy or new potentially curative therapies

Name of study:	1					
Study medicine:		Indication:				
First author:			Year:		Journal:	
Name of evaluation	ator:					
GRADE A	>5% improvement of survival at ≥3 years follow-up					$\bigcirc$
	Improvements in DFS alone (primary endpoint) (HR <0.65) in studies without mature survival data					
GRADE B	$\geq$ 3% <u>BUT</u> $\leq$ 5% improvement at $\geq$ 3 years follow-up					$\bigcirc$
	Improvement in DFS alone (primary endpoint) (HR 0.65 - 0.8) without mature survival data					
	Non inferior OS or DFS with reduced treatment toxicity or improved QoL (with validated scales)					
	Non inferior OS or DFS with reduced treatment cost as reported study outcome (with equivalent outcomes and risks)					
GRADE C	<3% improvement of survival at $\geq$ 3 years follow-up					$\bigcirc$
	Improvement in DFS alone (primary endpoint) (HR >0.8) in studies without mature survival data					;
	Improvements in pCR alone (primary endpoint) by $\ge$ 30% relative <u>AND</u> $\ge$ 15% absolute gain in studies without mature survival data					
					Mark	x with $√$ if relevant

B

A

C



Curative setting grading - A and B indicates a substantial magnitude of clinical benefit.

DFS, disease-free survival; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; pCR, pathologic complete response/remission; QoL, quality of life.