ESMO Symposium "Nutrition and Cancer" (Zurich, 20-21 March 2009)

## The impact of malnutrition and overnutrition on cancer outcomes

## Alessandro Laviano, MD

Dipartimento di Medicina Clinica







## OUTLINE

- Malnutrition and survival
- Malnutrition and toxicity
- Fasting and treatment toxicity
- Does "reverse epidemiology" apply to cancer patients?

## Nutrition does make the difference ...

- From the mid-19th to mid-20th century, mortality \$\frac{1}{1}\$ in developed countries
- Search for historical evidence best explaining this phenomenon
- Best explaining factors: <u>improved nutrition</u> and <u>immunological resistance</u>
- Medicine largely irrelevant because effective interventions appeared only after mortality rates had already fallen substantially



DeWys WD et al.

**Prognostic effect of weight loss prior to chemotherapy in cancer patients** 

Am J Med 69:491-7, 1980

## Effect of WL on median survival (wk)

Tumor	No WL	0-5% WL	5-10% WL	>10% WL	р
NSCL	20	17	13	11	<0.01
Prostate	46	30	18	9	<0.05
Colorectal	43	27	15	20	<0.01

# Is malnutrition still a risk factor of postoperative complications in gastric cancer surgery?

"The present study suggests that weight loss and hypoalbuminemia are not associated with an increased risk of mortality and morbidity in patients who underwent surgery for gastric cancer. This study may represent a stimulus for further studies aiming at evaluating the actual role of malnutrition in the development of postoperative complications in major abdominal surgery."



Figure 1. Effect of weight loss at presentation on overall survival.

Andreyev HJN et al. Eur J Cancer 1998

	No weight loss	Weight loss	No weight loss	Weight loss	No weight loss	Weight loss	Any toxicity	Any toxicity stratified by site	Grade 0–2 compared with grade 3–4	Grade 0–2 versus 3–4 toxicity stratified by site
Grade of toxicity	0	0	1-2	1 - 2	3–4	3–4				
Oesophageal	44	51	48	42	4	7	P = 0.52	)	P = 0.42	
Gastric	59	44	33	43	4	9	P = 0.003		P = 0.053	R<0.005
Pancreatic	51	39	40	50	3	9	P = 0.48	( <sup>1 &lt; 0.0001</sup>	P = 0.1	( 1 < 0.005
Colorectal	60	48	34	45	3	б	P = 0.001	)	P = 0.18	)

 Table 2. Stomatitis induced by chemotherapy and its relationship to weight loss (missing values account for those percentages which do not add up to 100%)

Table 3. Plantar-Palmar syndrome induced by chemotherapy and its relationship to weight loss at presentation (missing values account for those percentages which do not add up to 100%)

	No weight loss	Weight loss	No weight loss	Weight loss	No weight loss	Weight loss	Any toxicity	For any toxicity stratified by site	Grade 0–2 compared with grade 3–4	Grade 0–2 versus 3–4 toxicity stratified by site
Grade of toxicity	0	0	1-2	1–2	3–4	3–4				
Oesophageal	65	65	27	33	5	2	P = 0.82		P = 0.28	
Gastric	69	53	26	41	1	3	P = 0.0007	R<0.0001	P = 0.27	R<0.002
Pancreatic	71	52	22	39	1	7	P = 0.0001	(1~0.0001	P = 0.08	( <sup>P&lt;0.002</sup>
Colorectal	52	46	42	45	2	8	P = 0.065	)	P = 0.002	J



Figure 2. Effect of stabilisation of presentation weight loss over the first 120 days of treatment on failure-free survival.

Andreyev HJN et al. Eur J Cancer 1998

Nutritional status affects long term survival after lobectomy for lung cancer  $\!\!\!\!\!^{\bigstar}$ 



Fig. 3 Kaplan Meier survival with nutritional status.

## **Cachexia Worsens Prognosis in Patients with Resectable Pancreatic Cancer**



Bachmann J et al. J Gastrointest Surg 2008

## **Cachexia Worsens Prognosis in Patients with Resectable Pancreatic Cancer**



Bachmann J et al. J Gastrointest Surg 2008

## Impact of Body Mass Index on Outcomes and Treatment-Related Toxicity in Patients With Stage II and III Rectal Cancer: Findings From Intergroup Trial 0114

Jeffrey A. Meyerhardt, Joel E. Tepper, Donna Niedzwiecki, Donna R. Hollis, A. David McCollum, Denise Brady, Michael J. O'Connell, Robert J. Mayer, Bernard Cummings, Christopher Willett, John S. Macdonald, Al B. Benson III, and Charles S. Fuchs



Fig 2. Overall survival by body mass index class among patients with rectal cancer.

Fig 3. Recurrence-free survival by body mass index class among patients with rectal cancer.

## Impact of Body Mass Index on Outcomes and Treatment-Related Toxicity in Patients With Stage II and III Rectal Cancer: Findings From Intergroup Trial 0114

Jeffrey A. Meyerhardt, Joel E. Tepper, Donna Niedzwiecki, Donna R. Hollis, A. David McCollum, Denise Brady, Michael J. O'Connell, Robert J. Mayer, Bernard Cummings, Christopher Willett, John S. Macdonald, Al B. Benson III, and Charles S. Fuchs

Table 3. Five-Year Survival and Cancer Recurrence According to Body Mass Index Class							
			BMI Class (kg/m	n²)			<i>P</i> +
	$< 20 \ {\rm kg/m^2}$	20-24.9	25-26.9	27-29.9	≥ 30	<i>P</i> *	$(\geq 20 \text{ kg/m}^2)$
5-year overall survival, %‡	53.1	65.5	63.5	65.2	62.9	.4	.9
Adjusted overall mortalitys							
HR	1.43	Referent	0.97	0.95	1.09		.5
95% CI	1.08 to 1.89		0.80 to 1.17	0.78 to 1.15	0.90 to 1.33		
5-year disease-free survival, %	47.1	56.1	53.3	57.1	55.2	.6	.6
Adjusted disease-free mortalitys							
HR	1.17	Referent	0.95	0.90	1.10		.5
95% CI	0.91 to 1.52		0.79 to 1.14	0.76 to 1.06	0.91 to 1.32		
5-year recurrence-free survival, %¶	52.5	59.8	58.7	64.0	59.5	.5	.5
Adjusted cancer recurrences							
HR	1.16	Referent	1.01	0.88	1.08		.8
95% CI	0.85 to 1.58		0.81 to 1.24	0.71 to 1.09	0.87 to 1.33		
5-year local recurrence-free survival, %#	83.1	88.5	85.2	87.1	83.9	.5	.3
Adjusted local recurrences							
HR	1.15	Referent	1.33	1.10	1.31		.17
95% CI	0.65 to 2.02		0.93 to 1.90	0.76 to 1.59	0.91 to 1.88		

#### Meyhardt JA et al. J Clin Oncol 2004

## Impact of Body Mass Index on Outcomes and Treatment-Related Toxicity in Patients With Stage II and III Rectal Cancer: Findings From Intergroup Trial 0114

Jeffrey A. Meyerhardt, Joel E. Tepper, Donna Niedzwiecki, Donna R. Hollis, A. David McCollum, Denise Brady, Michael J. O'Connell, Robert J. Mayer, Bernard Cummings, Christopher Willett, John S. Macdonald, Al B. Benson III, and Charles S. Fuchs

Table 5. Major Treatment-Related Toxicity by Body Mass Index (% of patients)									
			BMI Class	Unadjusted	Adjusted P*				
	< 20 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	20-24.9 kg/m²	25-26.9 kg/m²	27-29.9 kg/m²	≥ 30 kg/m²	P Across All BMIst	BMI ≥ 20 kg/m²‡	BMI < 25 kg/m²§	
Nausea	5.5	5.1	3.9	5.2	3.0	.5	.3	1.0	
Emesis¶	5.5	4.6	2.2	4.1	3.3	.4	.4	.8	
Diarrhea#	32.1	26.0	25.6	25.8	22.5	.4	.4	.19	
Leukopenia**	32.1	28.5	26.1	23.8	20.1	.04	.01	.8	
Neutroperiat t	43.1	45.5	43.6	34.6	35.1	.003	.0005	.17	
Stomatitis‡‡	12.2	9.8	9.6	6.7	4.7	.03	.01	.7	
Any grade 3 or 4 toxicity	81.7	75.7	78.9	71.7	70.0	.02	.05	.5	

#### Prognostic Factors in Advanced Cancer Patients: Evidence-Based Clinical Recommendations—A Study by the Steering Committee of the European Association for Palliative Care

Marco Maltoni, Augusto Caraceni, Cinzia Brunelli, Bert Broeckaert, Nicholas Christakis, Steffen Eychmueller, Paul Glare, Maria Nabal, Antonio Viganò, Philip Larkin, Franco De Conno, Geoffrey Hanks, and Stein Kaasa

Table 7. Palliative Prognostic Score*						
Prognostic Factor	Partial Score					
Dyspnea Absent Present	0 1					
Anorexia Absent Prosont	0 1.5					
Kamofsky performance status ≥ 50 30-40 10-20	0 0 2.5					
Clinical prodiction of survival > 12 weeks 11-12 weeks 9-10 weeks 7-8 weeks 5-6 weeks 3-4 weeks 1-2 weeks	0 2.0 2.5 2.5 4.5 6.0 8.5					
Total WBC count (cell/mm <sup>s</sup> ) Normal: 4,800-8,500 cells/μL High: 8,501-11,000 cells/μL Very high: > 11,000 cells/μL	0 0.5 1.5					
Lymphocyte percentage Normal: 20.0%-40.0% Low: 12.0%-19.9% Veryllow: 0%-11.9%	0 1.0 2.5					

J Clin Oncol 2005



Have you lost weight unintentionally within the last 3 months?

How well have you eaten during the last week?





Laviano A et al. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2008

	Quality of	life score		
	Patients	Patients	Difference	All
	with weight	without	between	groups
	loss	weight loss	groups	combined
Oesophageal	55	60	P=0.3	$\left. \right\}_{P < 0.0001}$
Gastric	54	72	P<0.008	
Pancreatic	49	63	P<0.0001	
Colorectal	52	67	P<0.0001	

## Table 4. Weight loss and quality of life

Andreyev HJN et al. Eur J Cancer 1998



#### G. Reni (1575-1642)



#### Starvation-dependent differential stress resistance protects normal but not cancer cells against high-dose chemotherapy

Lizzia Raffaghello\*, Changhan Lee<sup>†</sup>, Fernando M. Safdie<sup>†</sup>, Min Wei<sup>†</sup>, Federica Madia<sup>†</sup>, Giovanna Bianchi\*, and Valter D. Longo<sup>†‡</sup>

\*Andrus Gerontology Center, Department of Biological Sciences and Norris Cancer Center, University of Southern California, 3715 McClintock Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0191; and \*Laboratory of Oncology, Giannina Gaslini Institute, 16147 Genova, Italy



PNAS 2008









Effect of population trends in BMI on prostate cancer incidence and mortality in the US

- Obesity is associated with increased risk of high-grade prostate cancer and prostate cancer mortality, and it is thus likely that the increase in obesity has increased the burden of prostate cancer.
- The predicted increase in obesity prevalence since 1980 increased high-grade prostate cancer incidence by 15.5% and prostate cancer mortality by between 7.0% (under identical survival for obese and nonobese cases) and 23.0% (under different survival for obese and nonobese cases) in 2002.
- Increasing obesity prevalence since 1980 has partially obscured declines in prostate cancer mortality.

### **Obesity as an Adverse Prognostic Factor for Patients Receiving Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer**



Bastarrachea J et al. Ann Intern Med 1994

**Obesity as an Adverse Prognostic Factor for Patients Receiving Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer** 



Bastarrachea J et al. Ann Intern Med 1994

## Influence of Body Mass Index on Outcomes and Treatment-Related Toxicity in Patients with Colon Carcinoma

## TABLE 2Survival and Recurrence for All Patients According to Body Mass Index

	BMI class (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )							
Measure	< 21.0	21.0-24.9	25.0-27.49	27.5-29.9	≥ 30.0	P value		
Five yr DFS (%) <sup>a</sup>	56.4	60.0	56.8	57.9	57.8	0.60 <sup>b</sup>		
Five yr OS (%)°	63.5	67.0	65.2	65.8	64.8	0.56 <sup>b</sup>		
Five yr RFS (%) <sup>d</sup>	63.4	65.1	61.9	62.3	61.9	0.56 <sup>b</sup>		
Unadjusted HR (95% CI)								
Overall mortality	1.10 (0.94-1.28)	1.00 <sup>e</sup>	1.10 (0.96-1.26)	1.03 (0.88-1.21)	1.06 (0.92-1.23)	0.43 <sup>f</sup>		
Disease recurrence	1.04 (0.87-1.24)	1.00°	1.07 (0.92-1.24)	1.10 (0.93-1.31)	1.10 (0.94-1.29)	0.20 <sup>f</sup>		
Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>g</sup>								
Overall mortality	1.15 (0.98-1.35)	1.00 <sup>e</sup>	1.10 (0.95-1.26)	1.05 (0.90-1.24)	1.11 (0.96-1.29)	0.20 <sup>f</sup>		
Disease recurrence	1.06 (0.88-1.27)	1.00 <sup>e</sup>	1.06 (0.88–1.27)	1.12 (0.94–1.33)	1.11 (0.94-1.30)	$0.17^{f}$		

## Influence of Body Mass Index on Outcomes and Treatment-Related Toxicity in Patients with Colon Carcinoma

#### TABLE 3 Survival and Recurrence by Gender, Based on Body Mass Index

	BMI class (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )							
Survival	< 21.0	21.0-24.9	25.0-27.49	27.5-29.9	≥ 30.0	P value		
Females								
Five yr OS (%)	68.3	71.6	66.9	64.4	64.7	0.066 <sup>a</sup>		
Five yr RFS (%)	65.1	68.1	63.5	62.2	61.4	0.19 <sup>a</sup>		
Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>								
Overall mortality	1.08 (0.87-1.35)	1.00°	1.18 (0.94-1.49)	1.23 (0.95-1.60)	1.34 (1.07-1.67)	0.007 <sup>d</sup>		
Disease recurrence	1.01 (0.79-1.28)	1.00°	1.14 (0.89-1.47)	1.20 (0.91-1.60)	1.24 (0.98-1.59)	$0.061^{d}$		
Males								
Five yr OS (%)	55.0	63.1	64.2	66.7	64.9	$0.51^{a}$		
Five yr RFS (%)	60.1	625	61.0	62.4	62.4	0.99 <sup>a</sup>		
Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>								
Óverall mortality	1.33 (1.05-1.67)	1.00°	1.03 (0.87-1.22)	0.96 (0.78-1.17)	0.94 (0.77-1.15)	0.39 <sup>d</sup>		
Disease recurrence	1.22 (0.9.3-1.60)	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	1.00 (0.82-1.22)	1.05 (0.85-1.32)	0.98 (0.79-1.23)	0.93 <sup> d</sup>		

## Influence of Body Mass Index on Outcomes and Treatment-Related Toxicity in Patients with Colon Carcinoma

#### BMI class (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) Adjusted (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)<sup>b</sup> Unadjusted P across Toxicity (%) < 21.0 21.0 - 24.925.0 - 27.4927.5 - 29.9≥ 30.0 all BMIs<sup>a</sup> $BMI \ge 21.0^{\circ}$ BMI < 25.0<sup>d</sup> 4.64.7 4.3 4.6 0.016 Nausea<sup>e</sup> 8.1 0.0270.84 Emesis<sup>f</sup> 4.2 3.2 4.3 3.0 3.0 0.57 0.20 0.66Diarrheag 21.3 21.320.6 21.20.43 20.61.00.94Leukopen**i**a<sup>h</sup> 10.8 11.7 8.6 9.16.1 0.0036 0.0097 0.54Stomatitis<sup>i</sup> 15.011.911.8 10.49.7 0.089 0.390.21Any Grade 3-4 toxicity 53.453.251.351.8 45.8 0.020 0.660.044 Treatment-related death 1.3 1.3 1.4 0.991.01.1 0.990.85

## TABLE 4Major Treatment-Related Toxicity According to Body Mass Index

Meyhardt JA et al. Cancer 2003

### Body Mass Index and Outcomes in Patients Who Receive Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Colon Cancer

James J. Dignam, Blase N. Polite, Greg Yothers, Peter Raich, Linda Colangelo, Michael J. O'Connell, Norman Wolmark



J Natl Cancer Inst 2006

## **Body Mass Index and Outcomes in Patients Who Receive Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Colon Cancer**

James J. Dignam, Blase N. Polite, Greg Yothers, Peter Raich, Linda Colangelo, Michael J. O'Connell, Norman Wolmark

Category	Disease-free survival (2074 events)	Colon cancer events (1286 events)	Second primary cancer (453 events)	Prior deaths† (335 events)
BMI‡				
Underweight	1.42 (1.14 to 1.78)	1.14 (0.84 to 1.55)	1.96 (1.27 to 3.04)	2.11 (1.26 to 3.52)
Normal weight	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
Overweight	0.96 (0.87 to 1.06)	1.08 (0.95 to 1.23)	0.80 (0.64 to 0.99)	0.81 (0.63 to 1.04)
Obese	1.06 (0.93 to 1.21)	1.04 (0.88 to 1.24)	1.05 (0.79 to 1.40)	1.29 (0.93 to 1.77)
Very obese	1.27 (1.05 to 1.53)	1.38 (1.10 to 1.73)	0.97 (0.61 to 1.53)	1.51 (0.93 to 2.45)
P: BMI§	<.001	.004	.11	.018

Table 2. Relative hazards for events comprising disease-free survival by body mass index (BMI)\*

Influence of obesity on cancer-related outcomes after pancreatectomy to treat pancreatic adenocarcinoma

- Two hundred eighty-five consecutive patients with data available for BMI calculation who underwent potentially curative pancreas resection to treat adenocarcinoma from January 1, 1999, to October 31, 2006.
- Influence of BMI and other known prognostic variables on the incidence of lymph node metastasis and disease-free and overall survival.
- Obese patients (BMI >35) were at 12-fold risk of lymph node metastasis compared with nonobese patients (BMI < or =35). The estimated disease-free and overall survival rates were decreased in the obese patients, and the risk of cancer recurrence and death after pancreatectomy was nearly twice that in nonobese patients.
- Data suggest that the negative influence of BMI of more than 35 on cancer-related end points is unrelated to the potential complexity of performing major oncologic surgery in obese patients.



Lyon CJ et al 2003

## Adverse metabolic effects of products of adipocytes



## Conclusions

- Malnutrition remains a negative prognostic factor for cancer patients
- The increasing prevalence of obesity has offset in part the reduction of cancer mortality.
- Limited "reverse epidemiology" for obese cancer patients
- The mechanisms by which malnutrition and overnutrition impact on cancer outcomes are many, including reduced tolerance/response to therapy and corroboration of cancer-induced metabolic alterations.